



The evidence supports the Board's finding that claimant did not meet his burden of demonstrating he was available. See Trombley v. Dep't of Emp. & Train., 146 Vt. 332, 334 (1985) (explaining that Board's findings will be upheld if supported by credible evidence, even if there is substantial evidence to contrary). The evidence showed that claimant was visiting his partner in Quebec, family in Ohio, and vacationing internationally. Although claimant asserts on appeal that he would have returned for work, because claimant did not attend the hearing before the ALJ, he did not present this as testimony to the ALJ, who as the trier of fact was entitled to assess the credibility of and persuasiveness of the evidence. The record supports the Board's decision and therefore we affirm.

Affirmed.

BY THE COURT:

---

Paul L. Reiber, Chief Justice

---

Harold E. Eaton, Jr., Associate Justice

---

Nancy J. Waples, Associate Justice